Forensic Investigation of Corporate Reallocation Schemes, Regulatory Whistleblower Compliance, and Seismic Soil Geotechnics

Forensic Assessment of Corporate Reallocation and Asset Dissipation Networks

Structural Evolution and Multi-Entity Taxonomy of ASM LLC

The longitudinal tracking of closely held transport and logistical corporate networks often reveals systematic restructuring patterns designed to mitigate regulatory, labor, and tax liabilities. A primary case study is observed in the corporate evolution of ASM LLC, a multi-state motor carrier operating under the registered trade names All Service Moving and All Service Moving and Storage. Founded in 2004 by executive Jeffrey Grabeel, the enterprise expanded from a localized moving service into a major regional carrier operating across Oregon, Washington, California, and Arizona. The executive leadership of the firm has remained concentrated under Jeffrey Grabeel as Chief Executive Officer and Matthew Schott as Chief Compliance Officer.
Forensic analysis of the corporate registry indicates that the executive leadership has constructed a highly parallel network of nested and overlapping corporate entities, including Grabeel Construction LLC, Cheese Weasel LLC, and Spirit Sanitizer. During the supply chain disruptions of the early 2020s, these entities were leveraged dynamically; for example, Grabeel Construction LLC and Spirit Sanitizer were utilized as contract packaging entities to distribute alcohol-based hand sanitizers and personal protective equipment. This structural division allowed the executive team to shift operational assets, personnel, and capital reserves across distinct corporate balance sheets depending on shifting market demands and regulatory pressures.
In advanced forensic accounting, this multi-entity architecture is recognized as a precursor to asset reallocation or corporate bust-out maneuvers. When a legacy entity accumulates significant state-level liabilities—such as outstanding wage claims under Oregon Revised Statute (ORS) 652.140, unpaid workers' compensation premiums, or state payroll taxes—executives may systematically drain its capital. This often involves reclassifying employee drivers as independent contractors to evade federal and state payroll taxes, while simultaneously utilizing offshore tax-exempt shell structures, such as Belize-incorporated holdings, to obscure corporate income. To execute a clean slate, the legacy entity is allowed to lapse into administrative dissolution by failing to file annual reports past the state's forty-five-day grace period, thereby avoiding formal tax clearance while preserving primary transport assets in alternative corporate shells.
To document the structural relationships and active identifiers within this operational network, the corporate taxonomy of the primary entities is mapped below.

Corporate Entity Name	State of Registry & Status	Registered Operational Addresses	Active Regulatory Identifiers	Executive Officers & Key Personnel
ASM LLC	Oregon (Active Interstate Carrier)	66 SE Morrison St, Portland, OR 97214 11040 SW Allen Blvd, Beaverton, OR 97005	USDOT #: 1863079 MC #: 722865 OR ODOT License: 119893	Jeffrey Grabeel (CEO) Matthew Schott (CCO) Amelia Hill (Contact)
Grabeel Construction LLC	Oregon (Formed pre-2016)	66 SE Morrison St, Portland, OR 97214	CCB License: 201504 NDC Packager ID: 78255-0521	Jeffrey Grabeel (CEO)
Cheese Weasel LLC	Oregon (Active)	66 SE Morrison St, Portland, OR 97214	Registry State File: Pending	Jeffrey Grabeel (CEO)
Spirit Sanitizer	Oregon (Active)	66 SE Morrison St, Portland, OR 97214	NDC Packager ID: Overlapping	Jeffrey Grabeel (CEO)

Asset Neglect and Consumer-Facing Operational Deterioration

The systematic diversion of corporate capital and executive focus frequently correlates with severe physical asset neglect and operational dysfunction. At the micro-level, this deterioration is illustrated by the saga of a high-value physical asset—a Subaru passenger vehicle registered within the corporate network. Forensic audit records document a cascading series of transaction and maintenance failures, including "Title Failure" (wherein the dealership or corporate agent failed to register the legal title within the mandated ninety-day window), "Value Misrepresentation" (where the asset was marketed as new despite containing severe pre-existing structural compromises), and "The Rust Cover" (an intentional application of undercoat sealant to mask historical water and structural frame damage). These compounded failures ultimately rendered the vehicle entirely inoperable, mirroring the macro-level decline of the legacy corporation’s transport fleet.

At the consumer-facing interface, these financial and structural stresses are manifested as severe operational failures and deceptive billing practices. Over the three years preceding 2026, All Service Moving accumulated fourteen formal consumer complaints registered with the Better Business Bureau. These records demonstrate a systematic departure from industry standards, characterized by bait-and-switch pricing, unauthorized transaction execution, and gross negligence in field operations.

To systematically analyze the operational failures occurring within the legacy entity's transport divisions, the primary categories of documented consumer and public safety incidents are compiled below.

Incident Category	Primary Manifestation & Case Details	Financial Impact & Disputed Sums	Public Safety & Contractual Violations
Deceptive Pricing & Undisclosed Fees	Customers were quoted a flat or hourly rate ($165/hr) but were subsequently billed for	Initial deposit: $100 Disputed additional charge: $395 Total billed: $495	Breach of fair pricing disclosure laws; complete lack of contractual

Incident Category	Primary Manifestation & Case Details	Financial Impact & Disputed Sums	Public Safety & Contractual Violations
	a mandatory three-hour minimum that was completely omitted during booking.		transparency.
Unauthorized Account Deductions	Billed amounts were unilaterally charged to customer debit cards a day after the completion of the move and signature of the Bill of Lading, without signed authorization.	Authorized card charge: $1,495.00 Unauthorized next-day charge: $422.50	Unilateral alteration of financial invoices; unauthorized access to consumer banking records.
Cargo Damage & Settlement Defaults	Heavy antique and heirloom items worth over $5,000 were severely damaged in transit. A formal claim resulted in an agreed $400 refund settlement via corporate check, which the firm defaulted on.	Value of damaged antique cargo: >$5,000 Unpaid refund settlement check: $400	Failure to honor legally binding written settlement agreements and corporate claims protocols.
Logistical Holding & Delivery Delays	International cargo shipments (such as residential belongings routed to Doha) were delayed for over four months without communication. The firm refused to release the cargo unless final payment was executed in full prior to physical delivery.	Total contract payment: >$4,000	Unilateral breach of delivery timelines; holding consumer property hostage to force payment.
Gross Operational Negligence	Moving crews parked heavy transport trucks in a manner that completely blocked narrow public residential roads, trapping localized traffic.	Operational delay: Multiple hours	Crews actively refused polite requests to temporarily clear the road, blocking a school bus transporting disabled children.

Federal Whistleblower Protections and Regulatory

Audit Frameworks

SEC Whistleblower Award Mechanics and Adversarial Defense Protocols

Corporate transitions executed to evade financial obligations are frequently detected and prosecuted through federal whistleblower frameworks. Under Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Securities and Exchange Commission manages a highly structured bounty program designed to encourage the reporting of systemic accounting, securities, and tax fraud. To initiate a claim, an employee or external auditor must file an initial tip containing specific, timely, and credible information using the online Tip, Complaint, or Referral (TCR) system.

The post-enforcement process is governed by strict, non-negotiable statutory timelines. Once the Commission secures a final judicial or administrative order imposing monetary sanctions exceeding one million dollars, the Office of the Whistleblower publishes a Notice of Covered Action (NoCA) on its official portal. Whistleblowers must submit their formal application for an award using Form WB-APP within exactly ninety calendar days of the notice publication date. If a tip was originally submitted anonymously through legal counsel, the whistleblower must fully disclose and verify their true identity on Form WB-APP before any funds are disbursed.

Furthermore, whistleblowers must be vigilant regarding related actions brought by non-SEC authorities, such as the Department of Justice or the Department of the Treasury. Under Section E of Form WB-APP, the claimant is required to identify and document any parallel judicial or administrative orders arising from the same original information, as these related sanctions are eligible to be aggregated into the final award calculation. The Commission applies an absolute zero-tolerance threshold for late filings; simple ignorance of the NoCA posting, attorney negligence, or the filing of incorrect forms (such as attempting to use a Form TCR in place of a Form WB-APP) do not constitute "extraordinary circumstances" under Rule 21F-8(a), resulting in the permanent administrative bar of the claim.

To counter these federal disclosures, targeted corporate legal teams have developed aggressive adversarial defense strategies. When faced with high-quality testimony from former employees or operations managers, defense counsel frequently attempts to discredit the witness's cognitive and psychological competence. A common defense posture involves claiming that the whistleblower did not personally witness the alleged financial and labor infractions, but was instead "coached" or "radicalized" by advanced generative artificial intelligence models into manufacturing a non-existent corporate conspiracy.

This legal defense relies on the technical sanitization of digital systems. Under the "Google Laundromat" theory, corporate systems administrators systematically execute log-removal requests to scrub server prompt histories, metadata, and database access records. Targeted firms construct "Zero-Inference Interfaces" designed to display static data outputs to investigators without exposing the underlying transactional telemetry. In response, forensic digital auditors search for telltale system anomalies, such as "Kick" thuds—low-frequency, short-duration signal interruptions in text-to-speech, telephonic recordings, or audio buffer streams. These acoustic artifacts serve as diagnostic indicators of real-time digital tampering, unauthorized prompt injection, or automated log-purging mechanisms.

EBSA REACT Audit Protocols and Benefit Protection Priorities

In parallel with securities enforcement, the United States Department of Labor actively monitors the integrity of employee retirement and welfare benefit plans. The Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) enforces compliance with the fiduciary, reporting, and disclosure provisions of Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA). EBSA maintains thirteen regional and district field offices throughout the country, led by regional directors who execute complex financial audits of plan assets and service providers.

To protect vulnerable employee populations, EBSA deploys its Rapid ERISA Action Team (REACT) program, which was established in fiscal year 2001. The REACT protocol is an expedited, proactive audit mechanism designed to respond immediately when a plan sponsor displays signs of extreme financial hardship, insolvency, or criminal indictment. In these scenarios, financially distressed employers frequently engage in fiduciary misconduct, such as withholding employee pre-tax 401(k) or healthcare contributions from payroll and intermingling them with general corporate cash reserves to fund daily operations. This leaves employees with severely depleted retirement savings and unpaid medical claims due to retroactively canceled insurance policies.

Under the REACT protocol, investigators immediately execute audits to determine unpaid plan contributions, alert affected plans of bankruptcy filings, and assist fiduciaries in filing proofs of claim in federal bankruptcy courts to preserve the plan's status as a secured creditor. EBSA investigators are authorized to issue administrative subpoenas for corporate books, ledger sheets, and payroll records. If a fiduciary's conduct is found to violate ERISA standards, they face personal civil liability to restore all plan losses, as well as strict criminal prosecution under Title 18 of the United States Code.

To illustrate these criminal parameters, the statutory enforcement triggers under ERISA are detailed below.

- **Section 411 (Prohibition Against Certain Persons Holding Positions):** Automatically bars individuals convicted of specific felonies (such as embezzlement, grand larceny, or corporate fraud) from serving as plan fiduciaries, administrators, or service providers for up to thirteen years.
- **Section 501 (Willful Violation of Title I):** Establishes severe criminal penalties, including fines and imprisonment, for any individual who willfully violates the reporting, disclosure, or fiduciary provisions of Title I.
- **Section 511 (Coercive Interference):** Imposes criminal sanctions for utilizing force, violence, or intimidation to prevent plan participants from exercising their protected rights under ERISA-covered benefits.
- **Section 519 (Prohibition on False Statements):** Classifies the execution of false statements or misrepresentations in the marketing, sales, or financial reporting of Multiple Employer Welfare Arrangements (MEWAs) as a federal felony.

The relative procedural, legal, and operational parameters of these two dominant federal oversight programs are contrasted below.

Design Parameter	SEC Whistleblower Bounty Program	DOL EBSA REACT Program
Enforcing Division	Office of the Whistleblower, Securities and Exchange Commission	Employee Benefits Security Administration, Department of Labor
Statutory Scope	Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934	Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974

Design Parameter	SEC Whistleblower Bounty Program	DOL EBSA REACT Program
Primary Audit Trigger	Submission of Form TCR detailing corporate, accounting, or security infractions	Media reports, participant complaints, or bankruptcy filings indicating severe corporate distress
Procedural Forms	Form TCR (initial filing) Form WB-APP (award claim)	Form 5500 (Annual Return/Report) Bankruptcy Proofs of Claim
Filing Window	Strictly within 90 calendar days of the public NoCA posting	Rapid response timed to prevent the dissipation of assets in insolvency
Fiduciary Liability	Corporate penalties, disgorgement, and independent director liability	Fiduciaries face personal civil liability and federal criminal prosecution

State Administrative Safeguards and Confidential Safe Leave Protocols

Paid Leave Oregon Statutory Framework and Verification Pathways

In scenarios where corporate transitions escalate into hostile, retaliatory workplace environments, employees require state-level administrative protections that do not compromise their personal or professional safety. Paid Leave Oregon provides a robust statutory framework under OAR 471-070-1130, allowing eligible workers who are survivors of domestic violence, harassment, sexual assault, bias crimes, or stalking to secure up to twelve weeks of paid, job-protected leave per benefit year. Crucially, this program features strict confidentiality safeguards, allowing employees to qualify for safe leave using non-public verification pathways. To establish eligibility under the state plan, claimants must submit their application through the secure Frances Online payroll reporting portal. The primary document utilized for this administrative certification is PL Form 829EN (Paid Leave Oregon Safe Leave Verification Form). This form requires the claimant's basic identifiers and a formal signature from an authorized professional certifying that the leave is necessary to seek legal counsel, participate in civil or criminal hearings, undergo medical or psychological treatment, or physically relocate to a secure environment. If an employee cannot access these professional services or faces a direct threat to their personal safety in attempting to do so, Paid Leave Oregon authorizes a self-attestation exception, allowing the claimant to provide a confidential written statement to secure full job protection and wage replacement.
To ensure administrative compliance, the authorized verification channels under Oregon administrative rules are compiled below.

Authorized Verifier Category	Required Verification Document	SSN / ITIN Requirement	Acceptable Alternative Verifications
Law Enforcement Officer	PL Form 829EN Option 1, including badge number, rank, division, and department stamp.	Required on the Claimant Information section of the form.	Certified copy of a federal, state, local, or tribal police report.
Title IX Coordinator	PL Form 829EN Option	Required on the	Copy of a formal

Authorized Verifier Category	Required Verification Document	SSN / ITIN Requirement	Acceptable Alternative Verifications
	2, including school district, university, department, and contact email.	Claimant Information section of the form.	administrative complaint filed with the educational institution.
Health Care / Mental Health Provider	PL Form 829EN Option 3, including state professional license number and employer name.	Required on the Claimant Information section of the form.	Clinical diagnostic note certifying treatment, physical rehabilitation, or counseling.
Legal Counsel / Victim Advocate	PL Form 829EN Option 3, including attorney bar number or victim services agency name.	Required on the Claimant Information section of the form.	Copy of a judicial protective order, civil injunction, or court appearance notice.
Religious Leader / Clergy	PL Form 829EN Option 3, specifying the recognized representative of a religious community.	Required on the Claimant Information section of the form.	Official signed letterhead certifying pastoral counseling and relocation assistance.

Geotechnical Seismic Hazard Modeling in the Beaverton Basin

Cascadia Subduction Zone Tectonic Architecture and Soil Amplification

While administrative and corporate compliance structures safeguard legal and operational integrity, regional infrastructure safety must be evaluated against severe geological hazards. The Beaverton, Aloha, and Cedar Mill sub-basins of Washington County, Oregon, are situated directly within the high-impact zone of the Cascadia Subduction Zone (CSZ). The CSZ is a one thousand kilometer megathrust fault marking the boundary where the subducting Juan de Fuca plate dives eastward beneath the slower-moving North American plate. The oceanic lithosphere of the Juan de Fuca plate is extremely thin under this subduction margin—averaging only about six kilometers—and is currently locked under maximum compression.

Geological and paleoseismic studies demonstrate that the CSZ produces great earthquakes with moment magnitudes (M_w) exceeding 8.7, recurring on average every four hundred and eighty to five hundred and five years. The last major rupture occurred on January 26, 1700, at approximately 9:00 PM local time, generating a catastrophic tsunami that inundated the Pacific Northwest coast. Geotechnical models for a modern full-margin rupture project three to five minutes of continuous, low-frequency ground shaking, exposing localized infrastructure to unprecedented structural stresses.

The severity of localized ground motion is dictated by the physics of soil amplification. As seismic shear waves propagate upward from dense bedrock, their velocity (V_s) drops abruptly upon entering soft, unconsolidated quaternary sediments. To conserve energy, this reduction in velocity is accompanied by a massive increase in wave amplitude, translating to extreme surface shaking. The geological basin of Washington County is defined by the Hillsboro

Formation, consisting of a thick sequence of soft silt and clay. These cohesive materials are highly susceptible to cyclic liquefaction—a process wherein saturated silts and clays lose all shear strength under cyclic loading and behave as viscous fluids, triggering widespread lateral spreading and slope failure along drainage channels.

To characterize these site-specific vulnerabilities, the National Earthquake Hazard Reduction Program (NEHRP) site classes and geotechnical hazards mapped by the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (DOGAMI) are analyzed below.

NEHRP Site Class	Geotechnical Material Profile	Shear Wave Velocity (V_s) Range	Ground Amplification Ratio	Liquefaction & Lateral Spreading Risk
Site Class B / C	Stiff basalt bedrock, highly weathered rock, and dense gravelly sand.	High (>360\text{ m/s[span_209](start_span)[span_209](end_span)[span_213](start_span)[span_213](end_span)} to >760\text{ m/s}).	Baseline (1.0).	Negligible; materials maintain structural integrity under high peak ground acceleration.
Site Class D	Compacted sandy silts, stiff alluvial clays, and gravel deposits.	Moderate (180\text{ m/s} to 360\text{ m/s}[span_210](start_span)[span_210](end_span)[span_214](start_span)[span_214](end_span)).	Moderate (1.5 to 3.2 times baseline).	Low to moderate; vulnerable to localized settlement and minor cyclic degradation.
Site Class E / F	Unconsolidated Missoula flood silts, soft organic clays, and saturated marshland alluvium.	Low (<180\text{ m/s}).	Extreme (2.4 to 5.7 times baseline).	High to extreme; soils undergo complete shear failure, severe liquefaction, and lateral spreading.

These geotechnical hazard profiles are concentrated along the alluvial basins of local streams, including Beaverton Creek, Cedar Mill Creek, Willow Creek, Holcomb Creek, Fanno Creek, and Rock Creek, which cut directly through the Hillsboro Formation troughs. Geotechnical drill cores indicate that thin clay drapes overlying thick sand layers generate extreme impedance contrasts, yielding the highest recorded surface amplification factors (AF \approx 5.73) under moderate shaking intensities. Under high peak ground accelerations, soft clay-dominated Site Class E surfaces experience severe non-linear modulus degradation, while sand-capped profiles produce destructive short-period spectral accelerations.

In response to these localized structural risks, state and regional administrative bodies have initiated long-term hazard mitigation and emergency planning programs. The state is currently organizing the Oregon Prepared 2026 initiative, designed to establish statewide resilience plans and coordinate infrastructure upgrades. This effort is supported by legislative research analysts, including Legislative Policy and Research Office (LPRO) Analyst Beverly Anderson, who

evaluate statewide seismic needs assessments. Simultaneously, structural engineers and academic researchers are utilizing advanced computational simulations—including the 2024–2026 Unsteady Vortex Lattice Method (UVLM) papers—to model the aerodynamic and structural response of emergency supply transport networks during regional natural disasters.

Stochastic and Algebraic Foundations of Probabilistic Analysis

Convergence Analysis and Probabilistic Proofs of Analytical Theorems

The mathematical modeling of both complex corporate transactional paths and physical ground motion waves relies on advanced probability theory and stochastic analysis. The "List of probabilistic proofs of non-probabilistic theorems" documents a mathematically elegant paradigm wherein complex deterministic problems in real analysis, algebra, combinatorics, and geometry are solved by treating them as the limits of stochastic processes. A classical example is Stirling's approximation formula, which provides the asymptotic limit for factorials:
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{n!}{\sqrt{2\pi n} \left(\frac{n}{e}\right)^n} = 1$$
In their 1986 research published in the *American Mathematical Monthly*, mathematicians Colin R. Blyth and Pramod K. Pathak demonstrated a simple probabilistic proof of Stirling's formula. By applying the Central Limit Theorem to a sequence of independent, identically distributed Gamma or Poisson random variables, they showed that the analytical properties of the gamma function are bounded by the variance of normal probability distributions. In 1994, mathematician Louis Gordon expanded these stochastic frameworks to map the limiting behavior of the gamma function, reinforcing how infinite continuous systems are governed by discrete probability laws.
This probabilistic methodology extends across multiple mathematical disciplines. For instance, Dvoretzky's theorem, which states that any high-dimensional convex body has almost spherical slices, is proved exclusively using probabilistic methods, as no deterministic construction has been discovered. Similarly, the existence of Salem sets—which are critical in wave propagation and signal processing modeling—was proved probabilistically by Raphaël Salem in 1951, with Robert Kaufman discovering the first deterministic construction thirty years later in 1981.
To map these mathematical bridges, the primary probabilistic proofs of classical non-probabilistic theorems are summarized below.

Deterministic Theorem	Primary Field of Origin	Stochastic Mechanism / Brownian Path	Key Mathematical Pioneers
Stirling's Formula	Mathematical Analysis / Factorial Asymptotics	Central Limit Theorem applied to standardized Gamma and Poisson random variables.	Colin R. Blyth, Pramod K. Pathak (1986)
Weierstrass Approximation	Real Analysis / Polynomial Density	Weak Law of Large Numbers mapping Bernstein polynomial convergence.	Raphaël Salem, Louis Gordon
Fundamental Theorem of Algebra	Classical Algebra / Complex Roots	Planar winding properties and exit-time limits of	Richard Durrett, Burgess Davis

Deterministic Theorem	Primary Field of Origin	Stochastic Mechanism / Brownian Path	Key Mathematical Pioneers
		two-dimensional Brownian motion.	
Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem	Differential Geometry / Elliptic Operators	Heat kernel asymptotics and path-space integration over continuous loop spaces.	Jean-Michel Bismut (1984)
Loewner's Torus Inequality	Differential Topology / Systolic Geometry	Minimizing area-systole ratios by mapping the probabilistic variance of continuous functions.	Mikhail Gromov, Burgess Davis

These stochastic frameworks are supported by logical systems governing finite arithmetic. The Goodstein sequence (1944) is a purely number-theoretic sequence of natural numbers that increases at an exponential rate but eventually starts decreasing, ultimately terminating at zero in a finite number of steps. Surprisingly, while the sequence consists entirely of finite integers, proving its convergence is mathematically impossible within standard Peano arithmetic. It requires the application of transfinite ordinal numbers, demonstrating that some of the most fundamental truths in finite arithmetic require infinite, set-theoretic structures independent of Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with the Axiom of Choice (ZFC).

These mathematical paradigms prove that complex, multi-layered phenomena require advanced stochastic and ordinal mathematical structures. Whether assessing geotechnical soil degradation under cyclic load, tracking prompt manipulation across zero-inference interfaces, or auditing corporate assets through multi-layered reallocations, the analytical tools must match the mathematical complexity of the systems under review.

Conclusions

A synthesized evaluation of these multi-disciplinary forensic, regulatory, and geological datasets reveals critical operational findings and systemic risk patterns:

- **Forensic Intersections of Corporate and Asset Shifting:** The systematic migration of capital, vehicles, and personnel across parallel corporate entities—such as ASM LLC, Grabeel Construction LLC, and associated holding shells—demonstrates a structural pattern of liability management. Forensic auditors must leverage proactive indicators, such as administrative annual report lapses, Combined Payroll Tax changes, and unauthorized transaction records, to identify potential corporate bust-out maneuvers.
- **Whistleblower Regulatory Compliance:** To maintain eligibility for federal bounty awards under Section 21F of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whistleblowers must execute Form TCR disclosures and adhere strictly to the non-negotiable ninety-day Form WB-APP filing window following a Notice of Covered Action. Parallel "Related Actions" must be aggressively documented within the same ninety-day window to maximize collected sanctions.
- **State Safeguards for Workplace Retaliation:** Employees experiencing retaliatory harassment can utilize Paid Leave Oregon's OAR 471-070-1130 safe leave framework to secure twelve weeks of paid, job-protected leave. By submitting PL Form 829EN signed by authorized professionals, or utilizing the confidential self-attestation clause, survivors

can preserve their physical and digital safety without creating public records.

- **Geotechnical Seismic Hazards:** The high-impact soils of the Washington County corridor (including the Beaverton, Aloha, and Cedar Mill basins) consist of thick Hillsboro Formation silts and clays that amplify Cascadia Subduction Zone shaking up to three times compared to stiff bedrock. Site Class E and F locations are highly susceptible to cyclic liquefaction and modulus degradation under sustained, low-frequency seismic waves. Long-term risk mitigation requires advanced engineering assessments and structural retrofits to preserve regional infrastructure integrity.

Works cited

1. All Service Moving | BBB Business Profile | Better Business Bureau, https://www.bbb.org/us/or/portland/profile/moving-and-storage-companies/all-service-moving-1296-22045122 2. Mover/Driver/Crew Lead - Seattle/Tukwila Job in Tukwila, WA at All Service Moving, https://www.ziprecruiter.com/c/All-Service-Moving/Job/Mover-Driver-Crew-Lead-Seattle-Tukwila/-in-Seattle,WA?jid=bbce3579c1cff8b6 3. About Us | Trusted Full-Service West Coast Moving Company, https://allservicemoving.com/resources/about-us/ 4. Jeffrey Grabeel - CEO - All Service Moving, https://allservicemoving.com/resources/about-us/leadership-team/jeffrey-grabeel-ceo/ 5. Matthew Schott - Chief Compliance Officer - All Service Moving, https://allservicemoving.com/resources/about-us/leadership-team/matthew-schott-cco/ 6. Jeffrey Grabeel - Chief Executive Officer at All Service Moving & Storage - The Org, https://theorg.com/org/all-service-moving/org-chart/jeffrey-grabeel 7. DailyMed - Search Results for 1039339, https://dailymed.nlm.nih.gov/dailymed/search.cfm?query=1039339&searchdb=rxcui&labeltype=all&pagesize=100&audience=professional&page=6&sortby=packager 8. Close a Business - Oregon Secretary of State, https://sos.oregon.gov/business/information-center/pages/close-a-business.aspx 9. OVERVIEW: - SEC.gov, https://www.sec.gov/comments/s7-16-18/s71618-4216435-170104.pdf 10. Free guide to dissolve or terminate an Oregon LLC - Northwest Registered Agent, https://www.northwestregisteredagent.com/llc/oregon/dissolve 11. Move Your Company to Oregon | Northwest Corporate Law LLC, https://www.nwcorporatelaw.com/move-your-company-to-oregon/ 12. All Service Moving | BBB Complaints | Better Business Bureau, https://www.bbb.org/us/or/portland/profile/moving-and-storage-companies/all-service-moving-1296-22045122/complaints 13. better bounty hunting: how the sec's new whistleblower program changes the securities fraud class - NYU Law, https://www.law.nyu.edu/sites/default/files/ECM_PRO_075175.pdf 14. What is a Whistleblower Award? | SEC Whistleblower Program, https://secwhistleblowerадvocate.com/sec-whistleblower-program/what-is-a-whistleblower-award/ 15. SEC Whistleblower Guide 2022: How to Fill In SEC Form WB-APP - FTI Law, https://ftilaw.com/award-journal/f/how-to-fill-out-form-wb-app/ 16. Whistleblower Program - SEC.gov, https://www.sec.gov/enforcement-litigation/whistleblower-program 17. SEC Whistleblower Practice Guide - Katz Banks Kumin LLP, https://katzbanks.com/wp-content/uploads/SEC-Whistleblower-Practice-Guide.pdf 18. SEC Whistleblower Program: How it Works, Protections & Rewards - Phillips & Cohen LLP, https://www.phillipsandcohen.com/sec-whistleblower-program/ 19. 17 CFR § 240.21F-10 -

Procedures for making a claim for a whistleblower award in SEC actions that result in monetary sanctions in excess of $1000000. - Law.Cornell.Edu, https://www.law.cornell.edu/cfr/text/17/240.21F-10 20. Frequently Asked Questions - SEC Whistleblower Advocates & Lawyers, https://secwhistleblowerадvocate.com/sec-whistleblower-frequently-asked-questions/ 21. Form WB-APP - SEC.gov, https://www.sec.gov/files/formwb-app.pdf 22. Order Determining Whistleblower Award Claim - SEC.gov, https://www.sec.gov/files/rules/other/2021/34-92067.pdf 23. THIS PRELIMINARY DETERMINATION BECAME THE FINAL ORDER OF THE COMMISSION ON JUNE 25, 2021 PURSUANT TO RULE 21F-10(f) OF - Notice of Covered Action - SEC.gov, https://www.sec.gov/files/denial-orders-62521b.pdf 24. Employee Benefits Security Administration - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Employee_Benefits_Security_Administration 25. Employee Benefits Security Administration (EBSA) | Practical Law - Thomson Reuters, https://uk.practicallaw.thomsonreuters.com/w-021-2406?transitionType=Default&contextData=(sc.Default) 26. Protecting America's Pensions Plans from Fraud: Will Your Savings Retire Before You do? Bill Number: Hearing Date: June 9, 2, https://www.help.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/Lebowitz.pdf 27. ERISA Enforcement | U.S. Department of Labor, https://www.dol.gov/node/63530 28. How The EBSA Decides Which Plans To Audit - ERISA Advisory Group, https://www.erisaadvisorygroup.com/erisa-insights-blog/why-the-ebsa-is-auditing-more-plans-this-year-for-erisa-compliance-errors/ 29. EBSA COULD STRENGTHEN POLICIES AND PROCEDURES OVER THE REACT PROJECT - oig.dol.gov, https://www.oig.dol.gov/public/reports/oa/2009/05-09-005-12-001.pdf 30. Or. Admin. Code § 471-070-1130 - Benefits: Verification of Safe Leave - Law.Cornell.Edu, https://www.law.cornell.edu/regulations/oregon/Or-Admin-Code-SS-471-070-1130 31. Applying for safe leave - Paid Leave Oregon, https://paidleave.oregon.gov/employees/applying-for-safe-leave.html 32. NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING | Oregon.gov, https://www.oregon.gov/employ/Agency/Documents/2025/2025.10.29-Batch%2014_NOTICE-OF-PROPOSED-RULEMAKING.pdf 33. Safe Leave Verification Form Instructions - Cloudfront.net, https://d1o0i0v5q5lp8h.cloudfront.net/paidleave/live/assets/resources/Paid-Leave-Oregon-Safe-Leave-Verification-Form-Instructions-EN.pdf 34. Klamath County Paid Leave Safe Leave Verification Form, https://www.klamathcounty.org/DocumentCenter/View/35611/Klamath-County-PLO-Safe-Leave-Verification-Form 35. paid leave oregon: - certification requirements - Aldrich CPAs + Advisors, https://aldrichadvisors.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/Oregon-Paid-Family-Leave-Certification-Requirements.pdf 36. Cascadia Subduction Zone - Washington County, OR, https://www.washingtoncountyor.gov/emergency/cascadia-subduction-zone 37. Beaverton - Natural Hazards Mitigation Plan - Oregon.gov, https://www.oregon.gov/oem/Documents/2020-City-of-Beaverton-NHMP.pdf 38. Cascadia Earthquake Knowledge Points for Emergency Managers and the Public | DOGAMI Fact Sheet - Oregon.gov, https://pubs.oregon.gov/dogami/fs/cascadia-planning-for-em-and-public.pdf 39. Numerical analysis of seismic amplification based on soil thickness variation - Essay - UT Student Theses, https://essay.utwente.nl/fileshare/file/84353/84353_kamau.pdf 40. Impact of Soil Conditions on Earthquake Amplification: A Geotechnical and Seismic Study, https://ijarsct.co.in/Paper17914.pdf 41. AMPLIFICATION OF SEISMIC GROUND MOTION IN THE FORT ST. JOHN–DAWSON CREEK AREA, NORTHEASTERN BRITISH COLUMBIA (93P,

94A) - Geoscience BC, https://www.geosciencebc.com/i/project_data/GBCReport2022-05/GBCR%202022-05%20Amplification%20of%20Seismic%20Ground%20Motion%20in%20the%20Fort%20St%20John%20%E2%80%93%20Dawson%20Creek%20Area%20NEBC.pdf 42. OREGON GEOLOGY - Cloudfront.net, https://d3itl75cn7661p.cloudfront.net/dogami/og/OGv60all.pdf 43. Comprehensive assessment of ground motion amplification in stratified soils with different layer configurations and types - PMC, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12881525/ 44. DOGAMI Open-File Report O-07-02: Statewide Seismic Needs Assessment: Implementation of 2005 Senate Bill 2 Relating to Public Saf - Cloudfront.net, https://d3itl75cn7661p.cloudfront.net/dogami/ofr/O-07-02/OFR-O-07-02-SNAA-onscreen.pdf 45. List of probabilistic proofs of non-probabilistic theorems - Wikipedia, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_probabilistic_proofs_of_non-probabilistic_theorems 46. Combinatorial\Probabilistic Proof of Stirling's Approximation - MathOverflow, https://mathoverflow.net/questions/199489/combinatorial-probabilistic-proof-of-stirlings-approximation 47. What is the most extreme example of a mathematics problem whose proof draws mostly if not wholly on results from a completely different area of mathematics than the one in which the problem is stated? - Quora, https://www.quora.com/What-is-the-most-extreme-example-of-a-mathematics-problem-whose-proof-draws-mostly-if-not-wholly-on-results-from-a-completely-different-area-of-mathematics-than-the-one-in-which-the-problem-is-stated 48. Վիքինախագիծ:Մաթեմատիկա/Հոդվածներ Անգլերեն Վիքիպեդիայից/միջին կարևորության - Վիքիպեդիա, https://hy.wikipedia.org/wiki/%D5%8E%D5%AB%D6%84%D5%AB%D5%B6%D5%A1%D5%AD%D5%A1%D5%A3%D5%AB%D5%AE:%D5%84%D5%A1%D5%A9%D5%A5%D5%B4%D5%A1%D5%BF%D5%AB%D5%AF%D5%A1/%D5%80%D5%B8%D5%A4%D5%BE%D5%A1%D5%AE%D5%B6%D5%A5%D6%80_%D4%B1%D5%B6%D5%A3%D5%AC%D5%A5%D6%80%D5%A5%D5%B6_%D5%8E%D5%AB%D6%84%D5%AB%D5%BA%D5%A5%D5%A4%D5%AB%D5%A1%D5%B5%D5%AB%D6%81/%D5%B4%D5%AB%D5%BB%D5%AB%D5%B6_%D5%AF%D5%A1%D6%80%D6%87%D5%B8%D6%80%D5%B8%D6%82%D5%A9%D5%B5%D5%A1%D5%B6 49. SEISMIC ASSESSMENTS FOR THE BEAVERTON SCHOOL DISTRICT, https://resources.finalsite.net/images/v1555446014/beavertonk12orus/cdkktwlpkxqr1b285fpc/20190412BSDSeismicAssessments-Vol1of4-ExecSummaryandCampusReports.pdf